

UNITED STEES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ÁPPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		1	a monomorphic in the man at the

09/262.458

03/04/99

POSSLEY

B

42390.P6643

MM42/1014

HOWARD A SKAIST INTEL CORPORATION BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025 EXAMINER NGO - N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2814

DATE MAILED:

10/14/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Applica らり	tion No. しるこ	,488	Applicant(s)	~	
Examir	ier h	Ng	۵.	Group Art Unit	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Peri df r Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the significant of the second for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6)	MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the a Status	application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 4	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 1 b 25	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 1 to 26	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P1	O-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	approved 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.0 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bu 	ocuments have been
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other
Office Acti n Sur	nmary

Art Unit: 2814

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-12 and 21-26, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in Class 257, subclass 202.

II. Claims 13-20, drawn to a process for making a semiconductor device, classified in
 Class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group I invention, since the process of the group II invention could be used to make a product materially different than those of the group I invention. For example, a device without the storage medium in claim 21.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and, as shown by the above different classifications, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Serial Number: 09/262,458

Art Unit: 2814

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (703) 308-4938. The fax phone number for the Art unit is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo

October 5, 1999